

## 9. REPEATED GAMES

JIANFEI SHEN

*School of Economics, The University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*

**Exercise 1** (Prisoners' Dilemma).

		Player 2	
		<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>
Player 1	<i>T</i>	3 3	0 5
	<i>B</i>	5 0	1 1

Prisoners' Dilemma

**Step 1** In an isolated interaction,  $(B, R)$  is the strictly dominant strategy equilibrium.

**Step 2** To find the minimum discount factor  $\delta$ , we use the *grim trigger strategies* [notice that  $\min \max u_i (s_1, s_2) = 1, \forall i = 1, 2$ ]:

- Cooperate in the first period and to continue to do so in every subsequent period as long as both players have previously cooperate,
- while playing  $B$  and  $R$  in all other circumstances.

**Step 3** Given the grim trigger strategies, if Player 1 cooperates, his flow of payoffs is

<i>Time</i>	1	2	3	...
<i>Payoff</i>	3	3	3	...

but if he deviates at time 1, his flow of payoffs is

[By the *one-shot deviation principle*, we need only to consider such a deviation.]

Time	1	2	3	4	...
Payoff					...

**Step 4** Hence, the condition is

$$3 + 3 \cdot \delta + 3 \cdot \delta^2 + \dots \geq \underline{\hspace{10em}}$$

that is,

$$\frac{3}{1-\delta} \geq 5 + \frac{\delta}{1-\delta},$$

which solves for

$$\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Theorem 0.1.** If  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$a + a \cdot \delta + a \cdot \delta^2 + a \cdot \delta^3 + \dots = \frac{a}{1-\delta}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$X = a + a \cdot \delta + a \cdot \delta^2 + a \cdot \delta^3 + \dots,$$

then

$$X \cdot \delta = a \cdot \delta + a \cdot \delta^2 + a \cdot \delta^3 + \dots.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X - X \cdot \delta &= (1 - \delta) \cdot X \\ &= a \end{aligned}$$

as  $a \cdot \delta^\infty \rightarrow 0$  since  $\delta < 1$ . The above equation solves for

$$X = \frac{a}{1-\delta}.$$

□

**Exercise 2** (Repeated Twice).

**Step 1** There are two pure strategy Nash equilibria of the one-shot game:

$$(B, R) \quad \text{and} \quad (C, D).$$

**Step 2** Any SPNE involves playing either of these two pure strategy Nash equilibria in the second period.

		Player 2		
		<i>L</i>	<i>R</i>	<i>D</i>
Player 1	<i>T</i>	3 3	0 5	-20 -10
	<i>B</i>	5 0	1 1	-10 -10
	<i>C</i>	-10 -20	-10 -10	2 2

**Step 3** With Step 1 and 2, you now should know whether  $(T, L)$  is possible in the first stage. [Hint: Consider Player 2's strategy: play  $L$  in the first stage; play  $D$  in the second stage if Player 1 played  $T$  in the first stage, otherwise play  $R$ . Does Player 1 have incentive to cooperate at the first stage?]

**Exercise 3** (Repeated Three Times). *Hint:* Use the Nash equilibrium at the second and third stage.